UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,247	04/24/2006	Jean-Claude Volckmann	930024-2041	8015	
Ronald R Santu	7590 07/06/201 <b>cci</b>	EXAMINER			
Frommer Lawre 745 Fifth Aven		SINGH, SUNIL K			
New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3732		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/06/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/564,247	VOLCKMANN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sunil K. Singh	3732		

	Sunil K. Singh	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ess
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f.	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejectio E FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see NO v);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>The amendments to claim 1 would require</u>	a further search and/or considerat	<u>ion</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11	6 and 41.33(a)).
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowand	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Cris L. Rodriguez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3732	/Sunil K Singh/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732		